RESULTS OF AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT WORKING GROUP												
		ALTERNATIVES										
Attributes	Rank <sup>1</sup>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Risks/Uncertainty and Possible Mitigation Measures		
Total PM10 Emissions (tons/year) in Peak Operations	Nank											
Year (Phase 4- 2040-2078). Includes Fugitive Dust from Exposed Playa, After Control.	High									➤ PM10 emissions could likely be reduced for all alternatives if more efficient methods of playa dust control are developed and proven effective at the Salton Sea. For example, for alternatives involving operational and maintenance of barriers, dikes, and berms, emissions could be reduced by paving roads and using less-emissive methods to transport and place rock and gravel.		
Relative comparison to the lowest emitting alternative		1.0	1.2	1.8	19.9	2.1	3.0	13.0	2.3			
Score (1 to 5; "worst to best")		5	5	4	1	4	3	1	4			
										Total PM10 emissions may still exceed local significance thresholds even after implementation of additional or more effective control measures.		
Total NOx Emissions (ton/year) in Peak Operations Year (Phase 4 - 2040-2078)	High											
Relative comparison to the lowest emitting alternative		1.0	1.8	70.8	10.0	78.5	108.5	70.8	116.9	NOv emissions could likely be reduced to levels below local significance thresholds for		
Score (1 to 5; "worst to best")		5	5	2	4	2	1	2	1	NOx emissions could likely be reduced to levels below local significance thresholds for many alternatives by using less-emissive equipment for operations and maintenance.		
Total PM10 Emissions (tons/year) in Peak Construction Year (Phase 1- Initiation to 2020)	Medium									> PM10 emissions could be reduced to levels below local significance thresholds for all		
Relative comparison to the lowest emitting alternative		1.0	1.5	2.5	3.4	3.5	14.8	24.8	16.0	alternatives by paving roads and using less-emissive methods to transport and place rock and gravel. For example, considerations may include use of methods other than trucks to deliver		
Score (1 to 5; "worst to best")		5	5	4	4	4	2	1	2	materials to construction sites (e.g., trains or conveyors), further increases in watering		
										frequency during construction, pavement of gravel roads on site, or use of chemical stabilizers that may provide higher control efficiencies on roads and disturbed areas.		
Total NOx Emissions (ton/year) in Peak Construction Year (Phase 1 - Initiation to 2020)	Medium									NOx emissions could likely be reduced to levels below local significance thresholds for many alternatives by using less-emissive methods to transport and place rock and gravel, especially lower emission marine equipment (tugboats, barges, dredges) for construction of barriers, dikes, and berms in the wet.		
Relative comparison to the lowest emitting alternative		1.0	1.8	71.1	10.2	79.2	109.2	71.5	118.0			
Score (1 to 5; "worst to best")		5	5	2	4	2	1	2	1			
										> Even with less emissive approaches, alternatives that involve movement of large amounts of rock and gravel may still exceed local significance thresholds.		
Relative Comparison												
Average based on equal weighting		5.0	5.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	1.8	1.5	2.0			
Relative Comparison of Alternatives (1 to 5; "worst to		5	5	3	3	3	2	2	2			
best") for air quality attributes		_		_			_	_	_			
Average based on factored weighting - High Rank X		7.5	7.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0			
2; Medium Rank X 1  Relative Comparison of Alternatives (1 to 5; "worst to		7.5	7.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	2.8	2.3	3.3			
best") for air quality attributes		5	5	3	3	3	2	2	2			
Attributes Studied Qualitatively in the Draft PEIR and/or Attributes Suggested by the Air Quality Working Group					Alternative C		Risks/Uncertainty and Possible Mitigation Measures					
General Conformity Applicability	High	-		-	Alternatives N	ot Compared						
Comments			ral conformity is	ssues. Prior to	missions to appl implementation, cable SIP throug	the proposed	> Demonstrating General Conformity for alternatives could be done by lengthening the construction time period; identifying and providing acceptable emission offsets; modifying the approved SIP to accommodate the increase in emissions; or a combination of these measures.					
Odor Impacts	Medium	Alternatives Not Compared										
Comments					define potential of exposure and he		> Measures to reduce the incoming nutrient loading, or removal or binding of nutrients from Salton Sea water, may reduce odorous air emissions.					

RESULTS OF AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT WORKING GROUP											
ALTERNATIVES											
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Risks/Uncertainty and Possible Mitigation Measures	
Attributes	Rank <sup>1</sup>										
Hazardous Air Pollutants Emissions Impacts	Medium		•	•	Alternatives I	Not Compared					
Comments		hazardous air	pollutants (e.g., ssociated with	constituents of the alternatives	potential conc	ial health effects ern in fugitive d analyses would analyses for die					
Microclimate	Medium				Alternatives I	Not Compared					
Comments						croclimate impac ffects on agricu	Uncertainty concerning the amount and depth of water needed along the shoreline to maintain current microclimate effects.				
Agricultural Impacts Associated with Salt and Dust Emissions and Deposition	Medium				Alternatives I	Not Compared					
Comments		Available ii				agricultural imp of salt and dust	> Control of fugitive dust from construction and exposed playa would reduce agricultural exposures to PM10 and constituents of potential concern.				
NOx Deposition Impacts on Invasive Plant Species that are nitrogen limited. May lead to additional fire hazard and death of sensitive biological species in certain areas.	Low				Alternatives I	Not Compared					
Comments		Not specifically	addressed in			sis and NOx disp sitive biological	> Control of NOx emissions should reduce impacts.				
Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impacts	Low		•	•		Not Compared					
Comments				Not spe		ssed in the Draf					
PM2.5 Emissions Impacts	Low				Alternatives I	Not Compared					
Comments		Not specifical	lly addressed ir	the Draft PEIR.		rrently designat tandards.	al > Control of dust and equipment exhaust emissions should reduce impacts associated with PM2.5.				

<sup>1</sup>The Ranking of Mandated Attributes for Air Quality is based on technical consideration of the importance of each Attribute to support a process for 1) evaluating air quality impacts associated with the Alternatives, 2) distinguishing between Alternatives with regard to their air quality impacts, and 3) providing meaningful input to the Advisory Committee for recommendation of a Preferred Alternative.